



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**THE APPLICATION OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY IMAGING
TECHNIQUE IN THE ASSESSMENT OF STRENGTH PARAMETERS OF
RESIDUAL SOIL DERIVED FROM GRANITIC ROCKS**

UGANTHARAN MARUTHAVEERAN

FSAS 2000 13

**THE APPLICATION OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY IMAGING
TECHNIQUE IN THE ASSESSMENT OF STRENGTH PARAMETERS OF
RESIDUAL SOIL DERIVED FROM GRANITIC ROCKS**

By

UGANTHARAN MARUTHAVEERAN

**Thesis submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

March 2000



***Dedicated especially to,
Mom and Dad,
my brother Babu,
and
my sister Amma,
without whose love and continued support
this thesis would not have been possible.***

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

**THE APPLICATION OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY IMAGING
TECHNIQUE IN THE ASSESSMENT OF STRENGTH PARAMETERS OF
RESIDUAL SOIL DERIVED FROM GRANITIC ROCKS**

By

UGANTHARAN MARUTHAVEERAN

March 2000

Chairman: Dr. Shaharin Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Faculty: Science and Environmental Studies

This thesis reports on the result of an empirical study to use electrical resistivity imaging technique in the assessment of ultimate shear strength of residual soil derived from the weathering product of granitic rock and to identify the depth and lateral extent of possible slip surface of sloping ground located in granitic terrain.

Soil samples were taken from the field and its petrophysical, resistivity and shear strength properties were studied in laboratory. In the field, both electrical resistivity imaging survey and ultimate shear strength probing have been carried out. The result of cross-correlation between ultimate shear strength and resistivity from laboratory and field investigation were integrated to obtain a relationship, which was applied to determine the ultimate shear strength of residual soil from the electrical resistivity inversion data obtained from the study area. The residual soil was derived from the weathering of granitic rock along Kuala Kubu Bahru – Bukit Fraser federal road.

Soil classification results show that the soil at the study area comprised of mainly clayey sand soil of high plasticity index. This study shows that the formation factor, x (i.e the resistivity without the influence of solution salinity in the soil) and the shear strength, y was related by an equation $y = 12.347x^{0.5641}$. The present work also shows that this relationship could only be applied for soil at moisture content between 10% and 50%. The result of field survey and 2-D subsurface imaging, also indicate that the depth of the sliding surface of the failed slope surface was about 1m – 1.5m below ground surface. The boundary between the translated and intact soil was at the ultimate shear strength of about 200kPa.

Therefore it is possible to estimate the ultimate shear strength of the residual soil and to predict the depth and lateral extent of the possible slip surface using electrical resistivity imaging survey at lower cost and wider coverage of survey area.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**PENGUNAAN TEKNIK PENGIMEJAN KERINTANGAN ELEKTRIK BAGI
PENILAIAN PARAMETER KEKUATAN TANAH BAKI YANG TERBENTUK
DARI BATUAN GRANIT**

Oleh

UGANTHARAN MARUTHAVEERAN

Mac 2000

Pengerusi: Dr. Shaharin Ibrahim, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Sains and Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Tesis ini melaporkan hasil dari satu kajian empirikal mengenai penggunaan teknik pengimejan kerintangan elektrik bagi penilaian kekuatan ricih tanah baki yang terbentuk hasil daripada proses luluhawa batuan granit serta mengenalpasti kedalaman serta kelansungan mengufuk permukaan gelinciran yang mungkin terbentuk di dalam tanah baki granit yang cerun.

Sampel tanah telah dikutip dari kawasan kajian dan telah diuji di makmal untuk sifat-sifat petrofizikal, kerintangan elektrik dan kekuatan ricih. Di lapangan, tinjauan pengimejan kerintangan elektrik dan pengukuran kekuatan ricih telah dilaksanakan. Hasil kajian korelasi antara kekuatan ricih dan kerintangan elektrik yang diperolehi dari kajian di makmal dan di lapangan telah diintegrasikan dan kaitan ini telah digunakan dalam penentuan kekuatan ricih tanah baki berdasarkan kepada data songsangan kerintangan elektrik yang diperolehi di kawasan lapangan. Tanah baki yang dikaji

adalah terbentuk daripada proses luluhawa batuan granit di sepanjang Jalan Persekutuan Kuala Kubu Bahru – Bukit Fraser.

Keputusan ujian klasifikasi tanah menunjukkan bahawa tanah baki dari kawasan kajian adalah terdiri daripada tanah pasir berlempung yang memiliki indeks plastik yang tinggi. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa hubungan antara faktor formasi, x (nilai kerintangan yang tidak di pegaruhi oleh kandungan garam dalam larutan air tanah) dan kekuatan ricih, y adalah $y = 12.347x^{0.3641}$. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa hubungan ini hanya boleh digunakan untuk tanah yang memiliki kandungan air antara 10% - 50%. Hasil dari kerja lapangan dan pengimejan 2-D sub-permukaan, menunjukkan bahawa kedalaman permukaan gelinciran pada permukaan yang gagal adalah kira-kira 1m – 1.5m di bawah permukaan bumi. Sempadan antara tanah yang menggelunsur dan tanah yang utuh adalah pada kekuatan ricih maksimum kira-kira 200kPa.

Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa teknik pengimejan kerintangan elektrik berupaya menilai kekuatan ricih tanah baki serta menentukan kedalaman dan kelansungan mengufuk permukaan gelinciran yang telah terbentuk pada kos yang rendah dan rantau peninjauan yang lebih luas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my project supervisory chairman, Prof. Madya Dr. Shaharin Ibrahim for his invaluable guidance and discussions and his supervision and patience throughout the course of this research. Similar gratitude must go to members of my supervisory committee, Prof. Madya Dr. W. Mohamad Daud W. Yusoff and Prof. Madya Dr. Mohd. Kamil Yusoff, for taking interest in and offering helpful suggestions and guidance. I would also like to thank to my colleague, Mr. Hago Ali Hago for his invaluable help he has given to me which brought to the completion of this thesis.

I am indebted to the Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment Malaysia, for providing a study grant (PASCA Siswazah) which financially supported me throughout the course of my study.

Acknowledgements are also extended to Mr. Mohd. Shah Ibrahim, Mr. Rahman Arkimin, Mr. Rahmat Kamid, Mr. Nordin Abd. Kadir and also to all the staff of Physics Department of Universiti Putra Malaysia who have helped and provided me the facilities needed throughout the course of my study. I would also like to express my gratitude to Mr. Nazan Awang, Mr. Jamaluddin Rahim and all the staff of the Geological Survey of Malaysia for their cooperation and assistance.

I am also very grateful to all my dearest friends for their encouragement and help in various ways. Finally, my dearest thanks to my parents, my brother and my sister for their support, care and patience without which this work would never have succeeded.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 1st March, 2000 to conduct the final examination of Ugantharan Maruthaveeran on his Master of Science thesis entitled "The Application of Electrical Resistivity Imaging Technique in the Assessment of Strength Parameters of Residual Soil Derived from Granitic Rocks" in accordance with Universiti Putra Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Putra Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

ZAINAL ABIDIN TALIB, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

SHAHARIN IBRAHIM, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

W. MOHAMAD DAUD W. YUSOFF, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

MOHD. KAMIL YUSOFF, Ph. D.


Associate Professor
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph. D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School,
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **31 MAR 2000**

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.


KAMIS AWANG, Ph. D.
Associate Professor,
Dean of Graduate School,
Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date: **11 MAY 2000**

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

Ugancharan

(UGANCHARAN MARUTHAVEERAN)

Date: *27 March 2000*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ABSTRAK.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
APPROVAL SHEETS.....	ix
DECLARATION FORM.....	xi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xix
LIST OF PLATES.....	xxiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xxv

CHAPTER

I	INTRODUCTION.....	1
	Overview of Electrical Method Potential.....	1
	Study Area.....	3
	Climatic Condition.....	5
	Topography.....	8
	General Geology.....	9
	Previous Work.....	10
	Scope of Present Study.....	11
II	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	13
	Electrical Methods for Geophysical Prospecting.....	13
	Electrical Properties of Earth Materials.....	19
	Formation Factor.....	24
	Topographic Effect.....	25
	Ohm's Law.....	26
	Apparent Resistivity.....	28
	Current Flow and Potential between Electrode.....	30
	at Surface	
	Traditional Resistivity Surveys.....	32
	2-Dimensional Electrical Imaging Surveys.....	34
	Electrode Configurations.....	36
	Slope Stability.....	39
	Type of Slope Movement.....	41
	Causes of Slope Instability.....	45
	Weathering of Granites.....	48
	Weathering Profile of the Study Area.....	51
	Shear Strength.....	51



	Friction: Basic Strength Property.....	53
	Cohesionless Soil.....	57
	Cohesive Soil.....	63
	Strength Properties for Clay and Mixed Soil.....	64
III	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	67
	Introduction.....	67
	Laboratory Work.....	68
	Grain Size Distribution.....	69
	Specific Gravity.....	72
	Liquid Limit.....	74
	Plastic Limit.....	77
	Plasticity Index.....	78
	Permeability.....	80
	Electrical Resistivity Measurement.....	84
	Shear Strength Measurement.....	86
	Fieldwork.....	88
	Electrical Resistivity Survey.....	93
	Shear Strength Survey.....	100
	Desk Study and Data Interpretation.....	102
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	109
	Soil Classification.....	109
	Visual Examination.....	109
	Grain Size.....	110
	Specific Gravity of Soil Solids.....	112
	Consistency of Cohesive Soil.....	115
	(Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index)	
	Permeability.....	120
	Electrical Resistivity and Shear Strength Properties.....	122
	of Soil	
	Effect of Solution Salinity on Electrical.....	122
	Resistivity	
	Effect of Salinity of Saturating Solution on the.....	125
	Electrical Resistivity of Soil	
	Effect of Grain Size on the Electrical Resistivity.....	128
	of Soil	
	Effect of Clay Content on the Electrical.....	132
	Resistivity of Soil	
	Effect of Grain Size on the Shear Strength.....	135
	of Soil	
	Effect of Clay Content on the Shear Strength.....	137
	of Soil	
	Shear Strength Property of Soil from Study Area.....	139

Relationship between Shear Strength and Formation.....	142
Factor of Soil from Study Area	
2-D Subsurface Imaging	144
2-D Subsurface Imaging Section of Electrical.....	144
Resistivity Distribution	
2-D Subsurface Imaging Section of Shear.....	152
Strength Distribution	
Comparison between Shear Strength and Electrical.....	168
Resistivity Measurements	
V CONCLUSIONS.....	170
Recommendations for Further Studies.....	172
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	173
APPENDICES.....	182
VITA.....	232

Table A.29: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 1.00mm – 2.00mm.....	201
Table A.30: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 0.50mm – 1.00mm.....	202
Table A.31: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 0.25mm – 0.50mm.....	202
Table A.32: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of > 2.00mm.....	203
Table A.33: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 1.00mm – 2.00mm.....	203
Table A.34: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 0.50mm – 1.00mm.....	204
Table A.35: Electrical resistivity of soil with grain size of 0.25mm – 0.50mm.....	204
Table A.36: Electrical resistivity of soil sample from Kuala Kubu Bahru..... – Bukit Fraser.	205
Table A.37: Electrical resistivity of soil sample from Kuala Kubu Bahru..... – Bukit Fraser.	205
Table A.38: Electrical resistivity of soil with (100% sand : 0% clay) composition...	206
Table A.39: Electrical resistivity of soil with (80% sand : 20% clay) composition...	206
Table A.40: Electrical resistivity of soil with (60% sand : 40% clay) composition...	207
Table A.41: Electrical resistivity of soil with (40% sand : 60% clay) composition...	207
Table A.42: Electrical resistivity of soil with (20% sand : 80% clay) composition...	208
Table A.43: Electrical resistivity of soil with (0% sand : 100% clay) composition...	208
Table A.44: Shear strength of soil with grain size of > 2.00mm.....	209
Table A.45: Shear strength of soil with grain size of 1.00mm – 2.00mm.....	209
Table A.46: Shear strength of soil with grain size of 0.50mm – 1.00mm.....	209
Table A.47: Shear strength of soil with grain size of 0.25mm – 0.50mm.....	210
Table A.48: Shear strength of soil with (100% sand : 0% clay) composition.....	210
Table A.49: Shear strength of soil with (80% sand : 20% clay) composition.....	210

Table A.50: Shear strength of soil with (60% sand : 40% clay) composition.....	211
Table A.51: Shear strength of soil with (40% sand : 60% clay) composition.....	211
Table A.52: Shear strength of soil with (200% sand : 80% clay) composition.....	211
Table A.53: Shear strength of soil with (0% sand : 100% clay) composition.....	211
Table A.54: Shear strength of soil from Kuala Kubu Bahru – Bukit Fraser.....	212

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1 : Location of the study area.....	4
Figure 1.2 : Annual rainfall (1990 - 1997) for Kuala Kubu Bahru..... - Bukit Fraser area.	6
Figure 1.3 : Annual raindays (1990 - 1997) for Kuala Kubu Bahru..... - Bukit Fraser area.	7
Figure 2.1 : Wenner configuration for arrangement of voltage and current..... electrodes.	14
Figure 2.2 : Two electrode configuration.....	15
Figure 2.3 : Square array.....	16
Figure 2.4 : A graphical plot of the resistivity values of different type of..... materials.	23
Figure 2.5 : Arrangement of current electrode (A and B) and potential..... electrodes (C and D).	28
Figure 2.6a: The cross section showing equipotential lines and lines of current..... flow in the vertical plane containing the current electrodes.	31
Figure 2.6b: Equipotential lines and lines of current flow on the plane of earth's..... surface at current electrodes A and B.	31
Figure 2.7 : Distortion of current flow lines by bodies having (A) anomalously..... high or (B) anomalously low conductivity.	32
Figure 2.8a: 1D model.....	33
Figure 2.8b: 2D model.....	33
Figure 2.8c: 3D model.....	33
Figure 2.9a: Wenner arrangement; “a” is electrode spacing as used in resistivity..... formulae.	37
Figure 2.9b: Shlumberger arrangement; “a” is constant and “r” is increased..... during the measurement.	38

Figure 2.9c: Dipole arrangement; separation “r” between centre of respective electrode pairs is changed during the measurement.	38
Figure 2.9d: Three point arrangement; current electrodes is kept fixed at a very large distance from the remaining three, which have a uniform separation “a”.	39
Figure 2.10: Typical slope failure.	41
Figure 2.11: A simple classification of landslides.	43
Figure 2.12: Examples of activities that cause of a net increase in stress.	46
Figure 2.13: Slope stability condition.	53
Figure 2.14: Friction of plane surfaces.	54
Figure 2.15: Friction of uneven surfaces.	56
Figure 2.16: Description of forces acting on representative slice of cohesionless soil in uniform slope.	58
Figure 2.17: A Submerged slope.	60
Figure 2.18: Relative failure envelopes (or shear strength values) due to differences in peak and ultimate ϕ values.	62
Figure 2.19: Effect of capillary moisture on shear strength of cohesionless soil.	62
Figure 2.20: Qualitative comparison of shear strength envelope from consolidated drained and consolidated undrained tests.	66
Figure 3.1 : Plasticity chart.	79
Figure 3.2 : Arrangement of constant-head permeability test.	83
Figure 3.3 : Cable laid horizontally.	93
Figure 3.4 : Cable laid vertically.	94
Figure 3.5 : Data sheet.	97
Figure 3.6 : The arrangement of electrodes for 2-D electrical survey and the sequence of measurements used to build up a pseudosection.	98

Figure 3.7 : Arrangement of blocks used in a model together with the datum.....	103
points in the pseudosection.	
Figure 3.8 : Data format for DIPIX PLUS program.....	107
Figure 4.1 : Grain size distribution of soil from Kuala Kubu Bahru.....	111
- Bukit Fraser area.	
Figure 4.2 : Graph showing changes in specific gravity as clay content varies.....	113
Figure 4.3 : The effect of clay content on liquid limit of soil.....	116
Figure 4.4 : The effect of clay content on plastic limit of soil.....	117
Figure 4.5 : The effect of clay content on plasticity index of soil.....	118
Figure 4.6 : Plasticity chart shows the type of soil from the study area.....	119
which is represented by the dark region.	
Figure 4.7 : The effect of grain size on the permeability of soil.....	120
Figure 4.8 : The effect of clay content on the permeability of soil.....	121
Figure 4.9 : Variations in resistivity of solution from different sources.....	124
Figure 4.10: Effects of salinity and moisture on resistivity of soil from study area....	126
Figure 4.11: Effects of salinity and moisture on formation factor of soil from.....	127
study area.	
Figure 4.12: Effects of grain size and moisture on resistivity of soil.....	129
Figure 4.13 : (a) cubic packing and (b) hexagonal packing.....	130
Figure 4.14: Effects of clay content and moisture on resistivity of soil.....	133
Figure 4.15: Effects of grain size and moisture on shear strength of soil.....	136
Figure 4.16: Effects of clay content and moisture on shear strength of soil.....	139
Figure 4.17: Effects of moisture on shear strength of soil from study area.....	140
Figure 4.18: Schematic arrangement of clay particles and sand grains.....	141
Figure 4.19: Established relationship between shear strength and.....	143
formation factor	

Figure 4.20: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 1. (Horizontal direction).....	145
Figure 4.21: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 2L. (Horizontal direction).....	146
Figure 4.22: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 2R. (Horizontal direction).....	146
Figure 4.23: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 3. (Horizontal direction).....	146
Figure 4.24: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 4. (Horizontal direction).....	147
Figure 4.25: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 5. (Horizontal direction).....	147
Figure 4.26: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 6. (Horizontal direction).....	147
Figure 4.27: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 7. (Horizontal direction).....	148
Figure 4.28: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 2. (Vertical direction).....	149
Figure 4.29: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 4. (Vertical direction).....	150
Figure 4.30: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 5. (Vertical direction).....	150
Figure 4.31: 2-D resistivity model section of slope 7. (Vertical direction).....	151
Figure 4.32: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 1. (Horizontal direction).....	155
Figure 4.33: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 2L. (Horizontal direction)....	156
Figure 4.34: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 2R. (Horizontal direction)....	157
Figure 4.35: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 3. (Horizontal direction).....	158
Figure 4.36: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 4. (Horizontal direction).....	159
Figure 4.37: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 5. (Horizontal direction).....	161
Figure 4.38: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 6. (Horizontal direction).....	161
Figure 4.39: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 7. (Horizontal direction).....	162
Figure 4.40: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 2. (Vertical direction).....	164
Figure 4.41: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 4. (Vertical direction).....	165
Figure 4.42: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 5. (Vertical direction).....	166

Figure 4.43: 2-D shear strength model section of slope 7. (Vertical direction).....	167
Figure 4.44: Graph describes the differences between shear vane and electrical.....	168
resistivity measurements of shear strength of soil from study area.	
Figure A.1 : Penetration versus moisture content for soil composition of.....	192
(80%sand:20%clay).	
Figure A.2 : Penetration versus moisture content for soil composition of.....	192
(60%sand:40%clay).	
Figure A.3 : Penetration versus moisture content for soil composition of.....	193
(40%sand:60%clay).	
Figure A.4 : Penetration versus moisture content for soil composition of.....	193
(20%sand:80%clay).	
Figure A.5 : Penetration versus moisture content for soil composition of.....	194
(0%sand:100%clay).	
Figure A.6 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 1.(station 1).....	219
Figure A.7 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 1.(station 2).....	220
Figure A.8 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 2.(station 1).....	221
Figure A.9 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 2.(station 2).....	222
Figure A.10 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 3.(station 1)...	223
Figure A.11 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 3.(station 2)...	224
Figure A.12 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 4.(station 1)...	225
Figure A.13 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 4.(station 2)...	226
Figure A.14 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 5.(station 1)...	227
Figure A.15 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 5.(station 2)...	228
Figure A.16 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 6.(station 1)...	229
Figure A.17 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 7.(station 1)...	230
Figure A.18 : Changes in ultimate shear strength with depth for slope 7.(station 2)...	231

LIST OF PLATES

	Page
Plate 3.1 : Sieves.....	70
Plate 3.2 : Mechanical shaker.....	70
Plate 3.3 : Density bottle.....	73
Plate 3.4 : Cone penetration apparatus.....	75
Plate 3.5 : Arrangement of electrical resistivity test in laboratory.....	85
Plate 3.6 : Arrangement of shear strength test in laboratory.....	87
Plate 3.7 : Shear strength apparatus.....	87
Plate 3.8 : The vane.....	88
Plate 3.9 : Slope 1.....	89
Plate 3.10: Slope 2.....	90
Plate 3.11: Slope 3.....	90
Plate 3.12: Slope 4.....	91
Plate 3.13: Slope 5.....	91
Plate 3.14: Slope 6.....	92
Plate 3.15: Slope 7.....	92
Plate 3.16: The electric cables are connected to the electrode via copper tube..... and crocodile clip.	94
Plate 3.17: Control panel.....	95
Plate 3.18: McOhm resistivity meter.....	96
Plate 3.19: Shear strength measurement in the field.....	101

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFMAG	Audio Frequency Magnetic Field
DIPIX PLUS	Plotting and contouring of Dipole-Dipole Resistivity and Induced Polarization Data and Profile Data
Eh	A measure of the ability of a system to bring about an oxidation or reduction reaction
EM	Electromagnet
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IAEG	International Association of Engineering Geology
IP	Induced Polarization
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
pH	Value taken to represent the acidity or alkalinity of an aqueous solution.
RES2DINV	Rapid 2-D Resistivity Inversion

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Overview of Electrical Method Potential

Pressure on natural resources from growing population, with growing demands for water supply, infrastructure and housing has increased in the past decades and can be expected to rise. Further stress on the environment due to pollution will increase the need for detailed geological knowledge for geotechnical, hydrogeological and environment protection purposes. To meet the challenge, earth scientists have developed more and more sophisticated techniques of exploration. The geophysical techniques most widely employed for exploration work are the seismic, gravity, magnetic and electrical methods. Less common methods involve the measurement of radioactivity and temperature at or near the earth's surface and in the air.

The electrical method is one of the youngest geophysical disciplines. Although the first step in applying geoelectrical methods to geology were made more than 100 years ago, the study of geoelectricity only began to develop at the beginning of this century. Electrical prospecting makes use of a large variety of techniques; each based on some different electrical property or characteristics of materials in the